

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

LUIS VEGA,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:11-CV-2015
Plaintiff	:	
	:	(Judge Conner)
v.	:	
	:	
GEORGE RIPLEY, et al.,	:	
Defendants	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 29th day of July, 2013, upon consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson (Doc. 37), recommending that defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. 18) be granted, and, following an independent review of the record, and noting that Plaintiff filed objections¹ to the report (Doc. 40) on July 1, 2013, and the court finding Magistrate Judge Carlson's analysis to be thorough and well-reasoned, and the court finding the objections to be without merit and squarely addressed by Magistrate Judge Carlson's report (Doc. 37), it is hereby ORDERED that:

¹ Where objections to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation are filed, the court must perform a *de novo* review of the contested portions of the report. Supinski v. United Parcel Serv., Civ. A. No. 06-0793, 2009 WL 113796, at *3 (M.D. Pa. Jan. 16, 2009) (citing Sample v. Diecks, 885 F.2d 1099, 1106 n. 3 (3d Cir. 1989); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c)). "In this regard, Local Rule of Court 72.3 requires 'written objections which . . . specifically identify the portions of the proposed findings, recommendations or report to which objection is made and the basis for those objections.'" Id. (citing Shields v. Astrue, Civ. A. No. 07-417, 2008 WL 4186951, at *6 (M.D. Pa. Sept. 8, 2008)).

1. The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Carlson (Doc. 37) are ADOPTED.
2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. 18) is GRANTED. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter JUDGMENT in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff.
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case.

S/ Christopher C. Conner
CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER
United States District Judge